

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

GUADALUPE VILLA-RODRIGUEZ,
Petitioner,

vs.

Civil Action 2:12-CV-280
Criminal No. 2:10-CR-225(4)
Judge Marbley
Magistrate Judge King

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Respondent.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Respondent has been granted until September 21, 2012 to respond to the motion to vacate under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Order, Doc. No. 161. Because the time for responding to the motion to vacate has not yet passed, it is **RECOMMENDED** that petitioner's motion for default judgment, Doc. No. 163, be **DENIED**.

If any party seeks review by the District Judge of this *Report and Recommendation*, that party may, within fourteen (14) days, file and serve on all parties objections to the *Report and Recommendation*, specifically designating this *Report and Recommendation*, and the part thereof in question, as well as the basis for objection thereto. 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1); F.R. Civ. P. 72(b). Response to objections must be filed within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy thereof. F.R. Civ. P. 72(b).

The parties are specifically advised that failure to object to the *Report and Recommendation* will result in a waiver of the right to *de novo* review by the District Judge and of the right to appeal the decision of the District Court adopting the *Report and Recommendation*. See *Thomas*

v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Smith v. Detroit Federation of Teachers, Local 231 etc.*, 829 F.2d 1370 (6th Cir. 1987); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).

s/Norah McCann King
Norah M^cCann King
United States Magistrate Judge

Date: September 5, 2012